The Right Honourable Henry Earl of Bindon (Son and Heir apparent of the Right Honourable Henry Earl of Suffolk) The Honourable Edward Howard and Charles Howard Esqs; (two other Sons of the said Earl of Suffolk) John Pitt Esq; and the Lady Diana his Wife, Daughter of the said Earl, Appellants.

The Right Honourable Henry Earl of Suffolk, the Right Honourable Ann Countess Domager of Suffolk, (surviving Executors of James late Earl of Suffolk Deceased) Sir William Russel Bart. Thomas Marriott Esq; Susannah Coppinger Spinster, (Executrix of Mary Coppinger Widow, deceased, who was Administratrix of Ralph Coppinger deceased) John Davies and Margaret bis Wife, Respondents.

The Appellants CASE.

October 19. 1669.
Conveyance from James
Earl of Suffolk, to his late
Majesty, for 50000 l. of
Audley-end-House, &c. 30000 l. satisfy'd.

maining 20000 l. it would ever be paid.

Earl George died before nor fecured.

Truft divided among the then Five furviving De- Earl of Suffolk and his Heirs.

Administration to Earl

part of the 20000 l. and

And for non-payment,

for non payment.

II.

IV.

AMES late Earl of Suffolk being seized in Fee of Audley-end House, Park, and Lands thereunto belonging in the County of Essex, by Deed and Fine (in consideration of 50000 l. agreed to be paid to the said Earl for the Purchase thereof) did Convey the faid House, Park, Lands and Premises, unto his late Majesty King Charles the Second, his Heirs and Successors. That the faid King Charles did fatisfy to the faid James Earl of Suffolk the Summ of 30000 %. in part of payment of the faid 50000 l, and by Letters of Privy Seal for Satisfaction of the remaining 20000 l. did direct, that (out of fuch Moneys as were Privy Seal for the re. then remaining, or should thenceforth be paid into the Receipt of the Exchequer out of the Revenue arising by Fire-Hearths) there should be paid to the said Earl James or his Assignees, the said 20000 l. but no provision was made for Interest, till payment.

That the said 20000 l. or any part thereof was not paid in the Life time of the said Earl James, who lived till the year 1688. The 20000 1. not paid That the faid 20000 1. or any part thereof was not paid in Earl James's Life time, and during his Life made all possible Application for the same, even to the time of his Death; so that the said Earl James looked in Earl James's Life time, and during his Life made all possible Application for the same, even to the time of his Death; so that the said Earl James looked the Hearth-money (which was the only Security the became doubtful whether upon the faid 20000 1. in danger of being lost: And soon after his Death the Hearth-money (which was the only Security the faid Earl had for the Payment of the faid Summ, being taken away by Act of Parliament, and no other Provision being made for the Payment of the faid 20000-1. the faid Debt was look'd upon as Desperate.

Earl James made his Will, and reciting therein, that the faid 20000 l. was then due to him, Devised the same in the Earl James's Will, on words following, (viz.) I do hereby give and devise the said 20000 l. unto my Brothers, George Howard, and Henry Howard, which the present Doubt and unto Henry Howard, Edward Howard, Charles Howard, and Diana Howard, Sons and Daughter of my Said Brother Henry Howard, equally to be Divided among & them, Share and Share alike, and if either of them Dye, to the Survivors or Survivor of them, and foon after dy'd, and made the Defendants Henry now Earl of Suffolk, Anne Counters of Suffolk, (the Testator's Wife) and Richard Newman Gent. Deceased, Executors of his said Will.

After his Death, Earl George survived him three years, but dy'd before any part of the said 20000 1. was paid, or any sethe 200000 l. could be di-curity or promife was given for the fame, or the least Expectancy thereof, although great Application had been made to vided, being neither paid the Crown by him, and the Executors of Earl James for that purpose the Crown by him, and the Executors of Earl James for that purpose.

His late Majesty King William, in full satisfaction of the said 20000 l. and of 600 l. due to the Earl of Suffolk for Arrears of his Audley-end-House, &c. Sallary, (as Housekeeper of Audley End) and in consideration of the said Earl's quitting the Sallary, (which was 250 l. per Annum) tisfaction of the 20000 1. for the future, Granted to Peter King Efq; and Thomas Marriott Gent. and their Heirs, (and which Estate is now in Sir William Ruffel and Thomas Marriott) the faid Audley-End House, Park and Lands thereto belonging, to hold to them and their Heirs, upon the Trusts following, (viz.)

Respondents Demands In the first place, for the indemnifying the surviving Executors of Earl James, against all Claims and Demands of the Adprovided for, if valid, else ministrators of Earl George, in respect of the said 20000 l. and for making satisfaction to them, in Case they should appear to have Right to any part thereof.

Then as to one 5th, (the whole in five equal parts to be divided) of the faid Premises in trust for the Respondent, the

As to one other 5th, in trust for the Appellant, the Earl of Bindon and his Heirs. As to one other 5th, in trust for the Appellant, Edward Howard and his Heirs. As to one other 5th, in trust for the Appellant, Charles Howard and his Heirs.

As to the remaining 5th, in trust for Lady Diana, (Wife of the Appellant Mr. Pitt) and her Heirs for ever.

That Earl George being (as is pretended) indebted to one Ralph Coppinger, by Judgment, in 876 l. Coppinger dy'dinte-George, granted to the Retipondent Coppinger first, and Administration was granted to Mary his Widow; and also Administration to the said Earl George was granted,
and after to the Responto Margaret the Wife of the Respondent John Davies, who under colour thereof, claims a fixth Part of the 20000 1. as the
Share belonging to the said Earl George. Share belonging to the faid Earl George.

Appellant, the Earl of That the Appellant, the Earl of Bindon, (without any other Profpect of Advantage, then to preferve the faid House for the other Shares in the Honour of his Family) with Advice of Council, purchas'd the several Shares and Interests of the other Appellants; and Exhibited his Bill, to the now Earl of Suffolk, in the faid Premifes granted as aforefaid, and Exhibited his Bill in Chancery, to have Conveyances have the Eenefit of the executed to him of the faid Premises, free from all Incumbrances; And that the Appellant, the Earl of Bindon, and his Estate might be quieted from the pretended Claims of the Representatives of Earl George, and all Claiming under them.

June 17. 1707.

To which Bill the Respondents having Answer'd, the said Cause came to be heard, before the Right Honourable the Lord Decree that the Ap- Chancellor of Great Britain, who was pleased to Decree a fixth Part of the 20000 l. and Interest for the same, from the time rellant should have a Conthe the Earl of Bindon had Possession of the Premises, under the Grant of the late King William; and upon the Appellants paying to the the Earl of Bindon had Possession of the Premises, under the Grant of the late King William; and upon the Appellants paying Respondent Davies a fixth the same, unto the Respondents, Davies and his Wife, the Appellant was to have a Conveyance of the Premises.

But in default of Payment, then the faid Appellant's Bill should stand dismissed with Costs. From which Decree, fo far as it relates to the allowing of the Respondents, Davies and his Wise's Title, to a sixth Part of the Bill to be dismissed. the said 20000 1. and the ordering Interest of the said sixth Part to be paid, and the ordering of the Appellants Bill to be dis-Appeal against that missed in default of Payment thereof, the Appellants have Appealed, apprehending the said Decree to be erronious, the Intent Part, which allows the Respondents one 6th part of the Will of the said James Earl of Suffolk being, That the said Devisees, or such of them who should be living when the said the 20000 st. with Interest, 20000 st. should come to be paid, should share the same equally amongst them; and that Earl George happening to dye, before and Orders a Dismission any Payment, or Provision for Payment, of Principal or Interest, the Respondents, Davies and his Wife, as his Representative, cannot be entitled to any Part or Share thereof.

For that the Words of the Devise (and if either of them dye, to the Survivors or Survivor of them) containing no Limitation of time, within which fuch dying to entitle the Survivors is to be, the time ought to be construed as largely as any reasonable Sense of those Words will bear, and therefore most reasonable and proper to be understood, to relate, to the dying of any the Legatees, before the Debt recovered or received.

For that some survivorship is intended, as there likewise is an equal Division intended (there being express Words for both) and it seems but reasonable that both should referr to the same time, that is the time of Payment for the 20000 l. is then to be divided when it is paid, and so the Sense of the Testator will be this, So soon as this 20000 1. can be got, it shall be divided amongst my Brothers, &c. and the Survivors of them; Or amongst my Brothers, &c. or such of them as shall be then living.

For that there is no other time to refer this survivorship to, except the time of the Testator's Death, and that cannot be, be-III. cause it makes the Clause of survivorship useless, for of Course those that dyed before the Testator, would be excluded without this Clause; and its a natural Construction, that the Testator might reasonably design a Kindness to the Persons named, if they lived to receive it, which yet he would not (nor can it be supposed he should) give to a Representative, who (as now happens) might be a perfect Stranger, and without any Merit from the Testator.

And should the Clause of survivorship be understood, of a dying by any of the Legatees in the Life of the Testator, it would make the Will to provide for a Contingency, which must happen before it was a Will (which it only is from the Testator's Death) and that would be unreasonable and void.

Therefore the Appellants humbly hope, that so much of the said Decree as aforesaid shall be reversed, and that the Respondents Sir William Russel and Marriot, may be order'd to execute Conveyances of the Premises in question, unto the Appellant the Earl of Bindon and his Heirs, or as he shall appoint.

Samuel Dodd.